# Human/System errors in transfusion - Insights from SHOT

### Shruthi Narayan







Thank You!







SHOT collects and analyses information on transfusion reactions and adverse events from all healthcare organisations in the UK that are involved in blood transfusion

**MHRA** 

UND.

This includes transfusion of red cells, plasma, cryoprecipitate and platelets. Additionally SHOT has been collecting errors related to anti-D immunoglobulin administration, immune anti-D cases and errors related to prothrombin complex concentrates

SHOT is funded by the 4 UK Blood Services and is affiliated to the Royal College of Pathologists. Its activities are overseen by a Steering Group whose membership includes representatives from the Royal Colleges (medical and nursing) and other specialist societies

# Cumulative data for SHOT categories 1996-2020





# Summary data for 2020, all categories (includes RBRP and NM)





# Errors as a percentage of total reports 2014-2020





# What are the impacts of errors in transfusion?







# What are the impacts of errors in transfusion?





# Key questions





## Human factors

"The scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system"





# 'Human factors' does not mean focusing on humans alone





#### 1. DECISION TO TRANSFUSE AND CONSENT PATIENT\*

Ê

### 2. REQUEST 3. SAMPLE TAKING 4. SAMPLE AND REQUEST RECEIPT 5. TESTING Critical points in the laboratory Î 6. COMPONENT SELECTION 7. COMPONENT LABELLING 8. COMPONENT COLLECTION 9. PRESCRIPTION/AUTHORISATION\*\*

10. ADMINISTRATION, MONITORING FOR ANY REACTIONS AND DOCUMENTATION \*Note that the pre-transfusion sample may have been taken in advance (for e.g. pre-op) while the decision to transfuse is made at a later date.

\*\*Once the decision to transfuse has been made, the prescription/authorisation may be written at variable times during the sequence but must be checked at the final stage.

Staff are encouraged to use the SHOT Safe Transfusion Checklist with every transfusion episode.

Misidentification of patients is a significant cause of avoidable harm. Patient identity must be verified effectively and accurately at every step in the transfusion pathway. All staff must be aware of the importance of correct patient identification and this must be confirmed in accordance with local policies.

Critical points where positive patient identification is essential





Human factors principles are important in all these aspects



Human factors principles are important in all aspects of patient care and at every step in transfusion: vein to vein

> Serious Hazards of Transfusion

# SHOT Human Factors Investigation Tool (since 2016...)





Serious Hazard

## SHOT HFIT observations

Comfort Human Factor Productien

The introduction of the HFIT within the reports submitted to SHOT paved the way for incorporating human factors principles when reviewing these transfusion incidents



Over a third of incidents (973/2857, 34.1%) were scored for a single contributory factor and the vast majority of these, 933/973 (95.9%) were given a score only for the individual staff member(s)

X

Over the 5 years of this study there has not been a major change in the distribution of scores given to the four human factors, although the trend is beginning to demonstrate that staff assign slightly less responsibility to the staff members, especially if the HF educational material has been used





## **SHOT HF Recommendations**



Staff involved in investigating incidents should be fully trained in techniques for effective investigations, including an understanding of human factors methods



Investigations should identify, and include improvement actions, for all the contributory factors involved



The nine key principles outlined in the white paper titled 'Learning from Adverse Events' published by the Chartered Institute of Ergonomics and Human Factors (CIEHF, 2020) should be applied to investigating transfusion incidents in order to help with understanding a human factors perspective. A link to the paper is in the chapter resources section





# The dirty dozen









# Illustrative cases for cognitive bias

### Case 1



- A young patient in mid-20's received 2 units of fresh frozen plasma(FFP) and 2 units of cryoprecipitate out of hours in error instead of 4 units of FFP prior to computerised tomography guided biopsy for a mediastinal mass
- The cryoprecipitate was stored in the wrong location in the freezer and staff failed to check the components prior to thawing and issue, assuming all four to be FFP. Staff collecting the component and administering also failed to identify the error and this was only noticed by laboratory staff the next day



### Case 2

- Two units of red cells were inappropriately issued electronically and transfused to a patient in her mid-30's. Antibody screen was negative, but patient was known to have historical anti-E antibodies
- Staff failed to heed a laboratory information management system alert about requirement for phenotyped blood for this patient. Fortuitously the units were suitable ('E' negative) and there was no adverse patient impact, but a serological crossmatch should have been performed



# Cognitive biases evident in these cases:



Decision fatigue?



Corrective and Preventive Actions

- Specific articulate and understandable
- Measurable verified that is solving the problem, means of evaluating
- Achievable— can be achieved within the resources and time frame
- Relevant- related to the cause(s) of the incident
- Time bound— specified time to complete the actions



The Hierarchy of Intervention Effectiveness



in-healthcare



# Action examples

Deficiency noted in investigation – staff not trained to respond to fridge temperature excursion alert

### **Good** action



Create training plan and competency assessment covering fridge alerts and deliver training to all staff

### **Poor** action



Include in next staff training session



Target date – within 4 weeks (Ensure staff trained prior to lone working shift)



Target date – within 6 months



Action by – transfusion laboratory manager



Action by – transfusion laboratory



Evidence – signed training and competency assessment documents





# Intervention Hierarchy

**Forcing functions:** robust process that include barriers and fail-safes, automation, and computerisation. These are the most effective barriers but are usually the hardest to implement. Reliance on systems to ensure safe practice, but can be subject to technology complacency, flag fatigue and short cuts if not set up correctly.

**System focussed:** standardisation, protocols and procedures, warnings, alerts, reminders, checklists, and robust checking. Partial reliance on humans and partial reliance on systems. Can be used as interim measures whilst more effective forcing functions are being explored.

**People focussed:** education and training, rules, and policies, even if applied to teams rather than individuals these are known to be ineffective. They are easy to implement and often used as the first line of defence. Reliant on humans to remember safe practice.



# **Effective Interventions**

## Making the most of your interventions: The following guide can help ensure that the interventions

identified are effective and fit for purpose:



Process

As simple as possible, as complex as necessary

Fail-safes and barriers (visual and physical) to error

Check points for safety

Reviewed for fitness for purpose



### LIMS & Automation

Functionality utilised to its full potential

Appropriate rules and meaningful alerts

Alerts not easily overridden with audit trail of override reasons



SOPs

Clear and concise instructions for methodology

Clear escalation pathways and instructions for discrepancies

Regular review and updates



Training

Planned and delivered to all relevant staff

Clear learning outcomes

Follow up for learning assurance/ regular sessions



Checklist

Clear purpose for design Utilise best practice Succinct reminder

not an explanation of process

Clear pause points for use



# Review the effectiveness







## Main recommendations from the 2018 Annual SHOT Report

All clinical and laboratory staff should be encouraged to become familiar with human factors and ergonomics concepts

All NHS organisations must move away from a blame culture towards a just and learning culture



All transfusion decisions must be made after carefully assessing the risks and benefits of transfusion therapy. Collaboration and co-ordination among staff are vital

https://www.shotuk.org/wpcontent/uploads/myimages/2 018-Recommendations-Survey-Summary.pdf

Serious Hazards of Transfusion (SHOT) 2018 Key Recommendations Survey

Survey Aims and Response Rate

he Key Recommendations survey aims to understand progress with implementing Key SHOT ecommendations in NHS Trusts/Healthboards. This was circulated six months following the rease of the 2018 Annual SHOT Report.

electronic survey (Surveymoniky<sup>47</sup>) was emailed to all registered Serious Adverse Biood closs and Events reporter in January 2002 and vasa svalabile for 4 weeks, Descloss were er single or multi-choice (results indicated by percentage or aboute number respectively), response was requested per Tux/Habitbaach, however data received reflects that some tst/Healtboards responded more than once. A total of 128 responses were received in full, representation from all countries of the United Kingdom.



## Main recommendations from the 2019 Annual SHOT report





Clinical and laboratory staff should be trained in fundamentals of transfusion, human factors, cognitive biases, investigating incidents and patient safety principles

Accurate patient identification is fundamental to patient safety. Organisations must review all patient identification errors and

address the causes of patient misidentification with use of electronic systems, and empowerment of patients and staff

Accurate patient identification

### Safety principles

All healthcare organisations should incorporate the principles of both Safety-I and Safety-II approaches to improve patient care and safety. Healthcare leaders should proactively seek signals for improvement from unsafe, suboptimal as well as excellent care

### Interlinked systems

Healthcare management must recognise that safety and outcomes are multifaceted, a linear view of safety does not fully acknowledge the interdependencies of resources including their leadership, adequate staffing and knowledge. Leaders should ensure these are all in place to improve patient safety



## Main SHOT recommendations from the 2020 Annual SHOT Report

Main recommendation

Main recommendation 1

Main recommendation 3

Effective and reliable transfusion information technology systems should be implemented to reduce the risk of errors at all steps in the transfusion pathway, provided they are configured and used correctly Transfusion delays, particularly in major haemorrhage and major trauma situations, must be prevented. Delays in provision and administration of blood components including delays in anticoagulant reversal, particularly in patients with intracranial haemorrhage, can result in death, or serious sequelae. Every minute counts in these situations

Effective investigation of all incidents and near miss events, application of effective corrective and preventive actions, and closing the loop by measuring the effectiveness of interventions should be carried out to optimise learning from incidents



# National Patient Safety Syllabus Jan 2020

### https://www.aomrc.org.uk/patientsafety/



### This is

- the first NHS-wide patient safety syllabus
- a multi-professional syllabus
- covers all the patient safety training and educational needs of people currently working in the NHS or in training to work in the NHS. This includes both clinical and non-clinical staff and covers the voluntary sector and social care
- The syllabus is based on a systems approach to human factors. It is holistic in its use of human factors, both system- and person-based



To illustrate the application of human factors principles and systems thinking to incident investigation



One ABOi case has been reworked using SHOT HFIT(by SHOT HF WEG) and SEIPS (collaborative work with NHSEI team)





This figure is from James Christie's Blog, adapted from the Safety-I and Safety-II diagrams from the document 'From Safety-I to Safety-II: A White Paper (EUROCONTROL, 2013) and 'A White Paper on Resilience Engineering for ATM (EUROCONTROL, 2009) The risk of serious harm related to transfusion in the UK is 1 in 15,142 components issued The risk of death related to transfusion in the UK is 1 in 53,193 components issued

~2.1 million blood components issued by the 4 UK Blood Services in 2020

Note: This is a representative image and not accurate to scale



# Safer systems

Reactive 01 02 Proactive 02

Predictive 03

# Combination of all these strategies



# Critical elements of a safety culture



# Safety concepts

### Safety-I

- As few things as possible go wrong
- Respond when something happens or is categorised as unacceptable risk
- Humans seen as liability or hazard
- Identify causes and contributory factors



### Safety-II

- As many things as possible go right
- Continuously trying to anticipate developments and events
- Humans seen as resource for system flexibility and resilience
- Understand how things go right to explain how occasionally things go wrong



### SHOT Acknowledging Continuing Excellence in Transfusion

- Learning from all events and experiences including excellence
- Appreciative enquiry
- Making visible the hidden work people do to successfully navigate problems
- Build resilient teams and systems

SHOT ACE Full power outage in UK hospital

**Disconnected analysers** 

Example ACE

Blood component storage devices failed

Computer systems down

No telephone system



# Outcome

- National safety notice
- Shared learning across the UK
- Review of contingency plans



### SHOT Serious Hazards of Transfusion

### SHOT Safety Notice 01: Emergency preparedness in the transfusion laboratory in case of total power outage

### Dear colleagues,

The SHOT team would like to take this opportunity to share learning and highlight the importance of emergency preparedness. This notice has been issued to share learning following an incident reported to SHOT in 2020 exposing the fragility of our services, which increasingly depend on electrical and electronic equipment. The staff members who faced this situation coped well in challenging circumstances, with no adverse patient outcomes. We would like to commend their actions; help identify potential risks and highlight areas where insights and enhancements can be gained.



# To improve patient safety...

More work needs to be done to improve incident investigations Learning from Near Misses and from excellence

We need to optimise learning from experiences

Incorporate and embed human factors principles and systems thinking





## Resources

# **SHOT App**

• <u>·</u>

erious Hazards of Transfusion

ANNUAL SHOT REPORT

View the latest Annual SHOT Report HERE

About SHOT

Annual SHOT Reports

E

Current Resources

Videos











# Acknowledgements

- The SHOT Steering Group and Working Expert Group members
- MHRA haemovigilance team
- The vigilant reporters and hospital staff who share their incidents
- The UK Forum for funding
- For further information visit: www.shotuk.org

Special thanks to:

- Dr Alison Watt, SHOT HF expert
- Dr Paula Bolton-Maggs, Past SHOT Medical Director and SHOT SG/WEG member







